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What Is Cybersecurity Engineering?
Cybersecurity Engineering (CSE)

What is it?

- Applying integrated principles, practices and rigor of engineering and operational security to build, configure, operate, and maintain systems and software for secure, resilient operation.

Why do we need it?

- Operational security alone is insufficient in complex and highly interconnected technology environments.
- System and software security engineering requires more than security compliance checklists and tools.
- CSE applies threat-informed security risk analyses to reduce the systems and software attack surface.
Key Areas of Focus for Cybersecurity Engineering

These six activities are essential to building and fielding secure, resilient technology for today’s contested environments:

1. Determining Risk
2. Defining and Monitoring System and Component Interactions
3. Evaluating Trusted Dependencies
4. Anticipating and Planning Responses to Attacks
5. Coordinating Security Throughout the Lifecycle
6. Measuring to Improve Cybersecurity

Cybersecurity engineering effectively considers threats and mission risk.

Perceptions of risk drive security engineering and assurance decisions.

- Cybersecurity expertise is essential to perceive security risk: threats, exploitable weaknesses in design and code, mission impact and likelihood of realized risk.
- Misperceptions are failures to recognize threats and impacts.
  - “How could it happen to us?” or, “It could not happen here!”

Acquirers, systems and software engineers, and developers must understand successful cyber attacks and impacts on the operational mission.

- Successful organizations learn from attacks on their organizations and on others.’
  - How can I rapidly anticipate and detect attacks?
  - How quickly can I respond and recover?
  - How can I be vigilant?
Defining and Monitoring System and Component Interactions

Cybersecurity engineering exposes and manages risk to systems from the interaction among technology components and external systems.

Security risks must be analyzed and managed across all stakeholders and systems.

• Design interactions to avoid missing critical threats at various interaction points.
• Balance costs of security against the likely impact of a successful attack (security risk).
• Balance security risk with opportunities and needs (performance, reliability, usability, etc.).
• Consider interactions at all technology levels (network, security appliances, architecture, applications, data storage, etc.) to identify and address security control gaps.
Cybersecurity engineering evaluates dependencies and inherited risk to ensure the appropriate level of trust is established.

Security dependencies relate to components others build that you use or connect with (inherited risk): What is a reasonable level of trust?

- Each dependency represents a risk (e.g. reuse, open source, collaboration environments).
- Dependency and trust decisions should be based on a realistic assessment of the risks and opportunities inherent in the dependency.
- Dependencies are not static so trust decisions must be re-evaluated regularly to identify changes that warrant reconsideration.
- Using shared components to build technology applications and infrastructure increases the dependency on and potential mismatch of other’s decisions (supply chain risk).
Anticipating and Planning Responses to Attacks

Cybersecurity engineering identifies and plans for potential attacks that could interfere with mission critical functions. There are no perfect protections against attacks.

A growing and diverse population of adversaries uses both simple and increasingly sophisticated capabilities to compromise the confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability of technology assets.

- Adversaries often use a combination of technology, processes, standards, methods, and practices to craft a successful attack (socio-technical responses).
- Attacks are designed to take advantage of the ways we normally use technology or to contrive exceptional situations where defenses are circumvented.
- Attackers’ profiles, capabilities, and methods are continually evolving.
Coordinating Security Throughout the Lifecycle

Cybersecurity engineering coordinates and integrates security across all aspects of acquisition and development throughout the lifecycle.

Assuring the security and resiliency of a system’s mission-critical functions requires

• Planning for what might go wrong: developing requirements for misuse/abuse cases with corresponding system and software requirements for secure, resilient response and operation.

• Building security and resiliency into the system.

• Verifying that expected security and resiliency characteristics were actually built in.

Authority and responsibility for coordination must be clearly established at an appropriate level in the organization to ensure effective participation and coverage.
Measuring to Improve Cybersecurity

Cybersecurity engineering identifies measurement data and analysis procedures necessary to determine whether levels of cybersecurity assurance and risk are acceptable and to identify opportunities for improvement.

Cybersecurity attributes of critical products, processes, and resources are identified, measured, and monitored throughout the lifecycle.

- Use risk assessments that consider both current and potential future threats, vulnerabilities, and impacts to identify critical attributes to be measured and monitored.
- Develop and consistently apply well-formed measurement definitions and procedures to establish credibility of the measurement and analysis results.
- Include all elements of the socio-technical environment that touch engineering and acquisition activities (processes, procedures, products, resources, etc.).
- Support measurement with robust engineering planning: define a security measurement plan that spans the lifecycle, and develop requirements for any needed instrumentation.
Today’s Cybersecurity Context
Cybersecurity Is a Lifecycle Challenge
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Software Development is Now Module Assembly

Collective development – context:

- Each component is a decomposition of code collected from sub-components, commercial products, open source, code libraries, etc.
- Each collects, stores, and sends data in different file structures and formats
- No one person, team, or organizations knows how all the pieces work

Note: hypothetical application compositions

Reuse is rampant!
Modularity is Emphasized: Assemble from 3rd party components to reduce construction cost/schedule and increase flexibility

Example:
Vehicles are now Assembled from Engine Control Units (ECUs)

Expect, and engineer for, increases in supply chain risk

ECUs are prefabricated, software-driven components addressing select functionality and tailorable to a specific domain.

Modern high-end automotive vehicles have software and connectivity:
- Over 100 million lines of code
- Over 50 antennas
- Over 100 ECUs

Anyone Can and is Encouraged to Write Software . . .

From 1990 to 2012, software industry production grew from $149B to $425B: Business investments in software accounted for 12.2% of all fixed investment, compared to 3.5% for computers and peripherals.

. . . but engineering is required to build software to address a critical mission. Cybersecurity engineering aims to minimize attacker impact on the mission.
Software is Everywhere

You think you’re building (or buying, or using) a product such as:

- car or truck
- satellite
- mobile phone
- development tools
- home security system
- aircraft
- pacemaker
- security tools
- home appliance
- financial system
- bullets for a gun

Actually you’re getting a software platform:

- Software is a part of almost everything we use.
- Software defines and delivers component and system communication.
- Software is used to build, analyze and secure software.

All software has defects:

- Best-in-class code has <600 defects per million lines of code (MLOC).
- Good code has around 1000 defects per MLOC.
- Average code has around 6000 defects per MLOC.

(based on Capers Jones research [http://www.namcook.com/Working-srm-Examples.html])
The Attacker Needs Three Ingredients

Exploitable vulnerabilities

- Millions of lines of software code contain defects; up to 5% are vulnerabilities
  [http://resources.sei.cmu.edu/library/asset-view.cfm?AssetID=428589](http://resources.sei.cmu.edu/library/asset-view.cfm?AssetID=428589)

- Hundreds of thousands of known software vulnerabilities exist

Access

- Increased connectivity links systems to other systems and connects new types of devices (IoT), which may be inadequately protected.

- Increased system and device connectivity with trusted connections provide security gaps that may be compromised.

Ability to exploit

- Attackers have access to software development tools and techniques as well as libraries of successful exploit software

- Attackers can apply reverse engineering to commercial and open source software to discover weaknesses.
Chasing Software Flaws is a Chronic Activity

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) National Vulnerability Database (NVD) contains **152,766 known vulnerabilities** – NVD received **15,911 new vulnerabilities in 2020** (as of 11/9).

Just a few items from **SANS NewsBites** (published Tuesdays & Fridays) and **SANS @Risk** (published Thursdays) [https://www.sans.org/newsletters/](https://www.sans.org/newsletters/) (a few of hundreds from 14 August through 12 November 2020)

- Microsoft Patch Tuesday updates address at least 120 vulnerabilities in Windows and other products and services, including two actively exploited vulnerabilities
- "BLESA" flaw in Bluetooth devices could allow attackers to spoof connections to mobile and internet-of-things devices.
- Universal Health Services (UHS) Ransomware Attack Affects All 400 U.S. Health Systems
- Improperly Configured AWS S3 Bucket Exposes 10 Million Hotel Guest Records
- University of Vermont (UVM) Health Network Cyberattack Impacts Chemotherapy, Mammograms
- Google Drive Collaboration Feature is Being Exploited by Bad Actors
- Apple iOS memory corruption vulnerability may lead to arbitrary code execution
- Oracle WebLogic Server Unauthenticated Remote Code Execution Vulnerability
Today, Operations Plays Whack-a-mole Chasing Attacks

Rapid delivery of features is prioritized over defensibility, reliability, and stability.

Operational missions are jeopardized by weak designs that allow attackers to leverage the many vulnerabilities.

Once software’s in an operational system, vulnerabilities can be difficult (or impossible) to mitigate.
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Cybersecurity Engineering Can Reduce Mission Risk
Cybersecurity Begins with Good Engineering!

- A. Cybersecurity by Design
- B. Security Requirements: An Essential Foundation
- C. Security Engineering Risk Analysis: Addressing Requirements Gaps
- D. Integration of Cybersecurity Risks With Program Risk Management
- E. Measurement for Cybersecurity Improvement
- F. Lifecycle Practices for Software and System Cybersecurity
Cybersecurity By Design

Design weaknesses are a significant contributor to software vulnerabilities. Cybersecurity Engineering can help identify and remove design weaknesses before they are implemented in software and become vulnerabilities.

Almost half the 891 weaknesses in the Common Weakness Enumeration, are design weaknesses.

The 21 design weaknesses in the Top 25 account for over 16,000 entries in the National Vulnerability Database (NVD).

1Source: https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/701.html
as of Nov 9, 2020

as of Nov 9, 2020
Design weaknesses and software vulnerabilities frequently result from missing or insufficient cybersecurity requirements.

Typical problems

• Minimized or ignored in requirements elicitation because stakeholders
  - have a limited understanding of the impact of cybersecurity risk on mission success, and
  - are unable to state their cybersecurity requirements
• Reliance on compliance mandates (e.g. Risk Management Framework (RMF)) and standards (e.g. ISO/IEC 27001) in place of mission-focused cybersecurity risk analysis
• Narrow focus only on common security practices (e.g. authentication & authorization) or mechanisms (e.g. Secure Socket Layer (SSL) for Web communication)

Good requirements, architecture and design activities and effective trade-off decisions that include cybersecurity are critical to operational mission success
Security Engineering Risk Analysis (SERA): Addressing Requirements Gaps

What is SERA?

• Systematic method for analyzing complex cybersecurity risks in software-reliant systems and systems of systems
• Considers intended operational aspects of a system to identify missing requirements and key design and architecture trade-offs with unacceptable mission impacts

Value of SERA

• Identifies cybersecurity weaknesses that, when addressed, improve the ability of a system to support mission success
• Assembles a shared operational view (mission and technical perspectives) to connect cybersecurity threats with mission risk
SERA: Requirements for Mission Cybersecurity Risk

**Outcomes**
- Data disclosure (Confidentiality)
- Data modification (Integrity)
- Insertion of false data (Integrity)
- Destruction of data (Availability)
- Interruption of access to data (Availability)
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SERA develops and analyzes mission threads (workflows) to establish the *mission impact of cybersecurity breaches.*
Integration of Cybersecurity Risks with Program Risk Management

Cybersecurity Engineering


2. Cybersecurity Engineering escalates its top cyber risks to program management.

Program Management

3. Program management assesses cyber risks in relation to other program cost, schedule, and technical risks.
Measurement for Cybersecurity Improvement

Cybersecurity Engineering needs to establish an assurance goal and identify the measures that will be useful in reaching the goal\(^1\)

- Decompose the goal into sub goals that establish a practice, outcomes and possible metrics (use Goal/Question/Metric\(^2\))

- To begin assembling data for evaluation, identify a subset of possible metrics that
  - address the goal, and
  - can be produced using data that are already available, or can be collected with minimal additional effort

- Add/adjust metrics building on what you are already doing

---


Requirements. Does the program/project define and manage security requirements?

Architecture and Design. Does the program/project appropriately address security in its system and software architecture and design?

Implementation. Does the program/project minimize the number of weaknesses inserted into the operational software?

Testing, Validation, and Verification. Does the program/project test, validate, and verify security in its software and system components?

Support Tools and Documentation. Does the program/project develop tools and documentation to support secure configuration and operation of components?

Deployment. Does the program/project consider security during the deployment and maintenance of software and system components?

**Most Software Flaws Are Found Long After They Are Introduced**

*Where Software Flaws Are Introduced*

- Requirements Engineering: 70%
- System Design: 20%
- Software Architectural Design: 10%
- Software Component Design: 3.5%
- Code Development: 16%
- Integration: 50.5%
- Unit Test: 9%
- System Test: 21%
- Acceptance Test: 9%
- Operation: 21%

*Where Software Flaws Are Found*

Sources: *Critical Code*; NIST, NASA, INCOSE, and Aircraft Industry Studies

*Woody et al. [http://resources.sei.cmu.edu/library/asset-view.cfm?AssetID=428589](http://resources.sei.cmu.edu/library/asset-view.cfm?AssetID=428589)*
Manage Defect Injection and Removal for Early Detection

- Defect Injection Phase: \( \text{(Injection=} \text{Rate} \times \text{Time}) \)
- Defect Removal Phase: \( \text{(Removal=} \text{Defects} \times \text{Yield}) \)

- Process yield: % defects removed before the first compile and unit test.

- Defects injects:
  - Requirements
  - Design
  - Development

- Phase Defect Yield

- Early Defect Removal Across the Lifecycle:
  - HLD: High Level Design
  - DLD: Detailed Level Design

- Chart:
  - Poor quality predicts poor security
  - Effective quality focuses on defect removal at every step and provides cost-effective security results

- Illustration:
  - Strategy for early defect detection and removal across the lifecycle of software development.
Final Thoughts
Build a Cybersecurity Strategy

Establish a plan for sufficient system and software cybersecurity engineering to ensure the operational mission(s) continue, even under cyber attack.

Elements in the strategy include consideration of:

- Appropriate security requirements to ensure confidentiality, integrity, availability (CIA)
- Ongoing monitoring of CIA in operational systems and software
- Sufficient resiliency to recognize, resist, and recover from attacks
- Operational security under all circumstances including designed in methods of denying critical information to an adversary to avoid/minimize mission impact
- Evaluate of alternatives to determine the level of accepted cybersecurity risk
- Appropriate lifecycle processes and practices to reduce operational vulnerabilities
Continuous Focus on Cybersecurity Risk Across the Lifecycle is Critical to Operational Mission Success
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Opportunities to Learn More

**Textbook**

Cybersecurity Engineering

**Professional Certificate**

CERT Cybersecurity Engineering and Software Assurance

https://sei.cmu.edu/education-outreach/credentials/credential.cfm?custom datapageid=14047=33881

Online training in five components

- Software Assurance Methods in Support of Cybersecurity Engineering
- Security Quality Requirements (SQUARE)
- Security Risk Analysis (SERA)
- Supply Chain Risk Management
- Advanced Threat Modeling