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Abstract

Traditional avionics-embedded development requires writing software applications that are specific to hardware (HW) configurations and the operating system (OS). In the early stages of the lifecycle, this proves cumbersome because complete architectures usually need to be defined before any software development can begin. This constraint also limits reuse and refactoring during hardware end-of-life or HW refreshes, which leads to higher and longer lifetime costs. By requiring physical access to the hardware for testing, this process hinders the fundamentals of Agile, pushing the lifecycle further right.

As Digital Engineering becomes mainstream, it is increasingly critical to understand the implications of various certifying bodies and contracting support to fully utilize its associated benefits. DevSecOps designed for embedded development is a highly rigorous, complicated, and time-consuming problem to overcome. Supporting embedded systems beyond application development with matching acquisition support is crucial to unlocking their modularity.

"Virtualize embedded systems to remove road-blocks to accelerate DevSecOp practices"
The adoption of standard interfaces alone does not guarantee modularity and reuse. Intellectual Property (IP) can be included in delivered solutions using unique hardware, firmware, and I/O devices.

Swapping software created by different contractors has the potential to fail due to hidden IP if it is not done early in the development process. The modularity of a system can be limited by incompatibilities caused by "hidden IP" or other "gotchas."

To avoid this dilemma, the embedded system must be generalized to the point where any incompatibilities would be obvious. The ultimate goal is to create "application stores" for each specific system.

Equally important is, the development of a robust acquisition and contracting strategy must respect the trade-offs between the underlying proposed technologies and processes being adopted.

"We must look beyond standard interfaces to unlock software modularity"
Acquisition processes and tool-chain utilizing virtualization to remove Software/Hardware dependencies

- **Digital Sandbox**
  - Built on AFSIM (Government owned M&S framework)
  - Scenarios created to support mission requirements
  - Scoring evaluation and playback against requirements
  - Supports rapid prototyping of embedded software such as NCA

- **Software V&V via build-up approach through SITL, HITL, and LVC**

- **Challenge Based Acquisition**
  - Other Transaction Authority (OTA) Agreements for agile contracting
  - Prototype OTA used for competitive selection
  - Working software delivered for each competition
  - Production OTA for "winner" follow-on sole source
  - Can be used in conjunction with System Design Agents

The Colosseum will expand the industrial base by lowering entry barriers, building a sandbox for traditional and non-traditional entrants, enabling rapid development, demonstration of new NCA technologies, and providing quicker acquisition and transition on-ramps.
GHC Virtualization – Interfaces / Containerized Software

GHC is effective because it utilizes unclassified challenges, non-traditional DoD contracting methods, open standards, software/hardware virtualization, and provides a level playing field for the evaluation of vendor software. Everything but the core functional requirements are provided (i.e. Virtualized). This allows a more equitable opportunity to win government contracts.

"Framework is provided to contractors, so the focus is on embedded software and not underlying software or hardware dependencies"

Virtualization through standardization
- Interface standardization and translation
- Software containers

Software V&V via build-up of "in-the-loop" components with mission simulation (AFSIM): model, software, processor, and avionics hardware

Approach
- Container Protobuf/ZMQ Interface to AFSIM
- MOSA/WOSA integration
- GHLAI translation and abstraction between software containers, GHC, and real hardware interfaces
- Vendor IP protected within container
Flight Test Objectives

**Demonstrate** that the AI solutions are able to integrate onto live platforms and computing payloads
- **SWAP**, Comms, real flight dynamics, latency etc.

**Demonstrate** the ability to test new autonomy concepts on cheaper non-objective platforms
- **Critical** to future development/fielding trajectories

Flight test on a Surrogate platform with real hardware flying with virtual clones
- **LVC Agents + Live Aircraft**
- **3x Elanus Duo Surrogate Aircraft**
- **Live Aircraft Interface: GHLAI**
- **Safety: Testing of Autonomous systems in a Complex Environment (TACE)**

- Modular Open Systems Approach (MOSA) + Mission Application Requirements + Digital Models And Supporting Ecosystem
  - Create the Arena Challenge for a System – pick, shop, and choose Software and Hardware to meet the mission needs.
  - Stay Generic.
  - Digital First for early and often testing.
What is Model-Based Engineering?

To put it simply, modeling is the use of something in place of something else for some cognitive purpose at a low cost. This allows us to use a simpler, safer, or cheaper alternative to "reality." A model represents reality for a particular purpose. However, it is an abstraction of reality because it cannot represent every aspect of it. As a result, we can deal with the world in a simplified manner, avoiding risk, complexity, danger, and irreversibility.
Integration of various models, specifically System and Software models, can be used to optimize each key phase in the OODA Loop. The evolution of software abstraction from assembly code to object-oriented code has been a natural evolution over time. It is inevitable that software abstraction will continue in the form of models.

- Hardware Agnostic
- OS Agnostic
- *Logic separate from the Interface
- *Integrated Simulation & Test
- Inherit Traceability DO-331
- Auto Code & Document Generation
  - DO-330 Tool Qualification
  - Easy integration of APIs
- Source of Truth is a single Model
- Easy to share

Virtualization Model Lifecycle: ModDevOps
A Digital Twin is: “An integrated multi-physics, multiscale, probabilistic simulation of an as-built system, enabled by Digital Thread, that uses the best available models, sensor information, and input data to mirror and predict activities/ performance over the life of its corresponding physical twin.” (source: DAU Glossary of Defense Acquisition Acronyms and Terms)

For the purpose of comparison with “Hardware Emulation” for software V&V, we are considering a Digital Twin to be defined as follows:

- A Digital Twin is the digital representation of an SBC(s) and/or components representing a functionally correct, predictable and reproducible representation of that board or system at the appropriate level of fidelity to perform software verification, performance analysis and software validation tasks.
As opposed to a server-hosted VM, containerization can be utilized for maximum portability/minimum resources.

Embedded system emulation requires additional levels of virtualization beyond simple docker containerization.

- Emulated HW specific images
- Increased resource requirements
- Potential for onboarding to Iron Bank/Registry One
- Easy transition to HWTL

“How do you create a build container to run non-x86 architectures?”
In an embedded system, an embedded hypervisor allows different operating systems, software, components, and functions to work independently while sharing information via a standardized bus (ARINC 653).
What is a Design Agent?

- Hired to Develop a Government-owned Technical Data Package (TDP)
- TDP Serves as Basis for Competitive Production and Life-Cycle Sustainment

Benefits

- Splits traditional Primary Contractor role between Design & Integration
- Non-Proprietary Data, Government Has Unlimited Data Rights
- Risk Reduction; SDA is contractually responsible for success
- Selects, awards, and manages subcontracts to domain experts
- System Engineering tasks to transition designs to production
- Increased Govt insight during design phase and selection
Software Defined Hardware (SDH) is a combination of software and hardware technologies that enables programable architectural and characteristic changes to the system. For example, a Software Design Radio (SDR) that is used across multiple platforms, and has different attributes such as frequencies, is a Family of Systems (FoS).
The VNX+ SWaP-C attributes make the standard a natural fit for SFF ATR-style avionics boxes and weapon systems requiring high-performance sensor interfaces in close proximity to FPGA and MPSoC signal processors, computers, radios, and platform I/O available as COTS MOSA modules with standardized electromechanical backplane interfaces.

VNX+ is the only backplane-centric COTS MOSA standard which can be deployed as vertically oriented conduction-cooled modules on a traditional horizontal backplane, mounted longitudinally which is ideal for WDL munitions.
Digital Transformation of the Embedded Development Lifecycle

MBE & Hardware Abstraction Pipeline integration

MBE/MBSE IDE Tool-Chain

- Behavioral Model
  - Model Based Software Architect Design
- Model Based Software Detailed Design
  - Simulations, Unit Test Cases, Coding Standards, & Model/Code Coverage
  - Requirements Management Tool
  - Hazard Analysis Tool
  - Artifact Generation

Requirements
- Logical Model of the System
- System Architecture Design Document
- Software Design Document
- Software Package
- Test Report for Model/Source
- Coding Standards
- Verification Cross Reference Matrix
- SCF Thread Analysis

Perform DO-330 Accreditation / Qualification

App + Target OS Integration
Non-Commodity Hardware | Safety Critical | Mission Critical with Timing Constraints

- BSP
- Application Code
- Embedded OS
- Kernel

Scan, Build, Test in HW Emulation Container

- Sonarcloud
- Copcheck
- Code Coverage
- Build
- Test Cases
- Analyze Build
- Upload Results

MBE & Hardware Abstraction Pipeline integration

Scan, Build, Test in HW Emulation Container

- Some Kind of Deployment
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The idea of an open weapon platform is complete control over the modularity between hardware requirements and software requirements to allow for testing without the immediate availability of real weapon system, all at a fraction of the cost. One such project, CLEAVER, is setup to be an OWP.
Selected Hardware Architecture

+ Digital Twin of Real Weapon
+ Baseline Specific Software for Configuration

Selected Configuration for Proposed Program of Record

Avionics Hardware and Software Validation

TRL 1-7

GHC

LVC

OWP

Prototype/LRIP (TRL 8)

Fail Fast
- Configuration Changes
- Requirement Adjustments
- Design Flaws mitigated
- Reduce Tech Debt

Once Configuration is validated and approved recommendations could move forward for full representation prototype for live fire and LRIP.
Final Thoughts/Questions

“What Would You Attempt To Do If You Knew You Would Not Fail?”
– Robert Schuller
Two Questions You Should Ask About Your Embedded System

1. Is your development environment the same as your deployed environment?
2. Did you account for each Hardware/Software layer in your system?
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