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Problem: Quality in the Software Supply Chain

• Due diligence requires that deliveries from suppliers be checked for acceptable quality.

• Software products are often subjected to acceptance test but these are superficial.

• One approach is to establish the reputation of the vendor.

• Another is to sample at high value targets.
Technical debt

• Many sources besides code
• We used SONAR in a standard configuration
• Need measures for non-code artifacts
Betweenness Centrality (BC)

• Ratio between the number of shortest paths a node lies in to the total number of shortest paths.
  – The node on the most shortest paths has the highest betweenness centrality.

• Graph theorists use this to identify nodes that are important to graph connectivity and information flow.

\[ BC(v) = \sum_{s \neq v \neq t} \frac{\sigma_{st}(v)}{\sigma_{st}} \]
Experiments

• Sampled three versions of the Java Development Tools (JDT): 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6.
  – Maintenance builds.

• **Experiment 1:** Correlation test between technical debt and betweenness centrality.

• **Experiment 2:** Longitudinal Hotspot Evaluation.
BC-TD Correlation

- Measured betweenness centrality of each file in JDT 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 using Cytoscape.
- Measured technical debt using Sonar Technical Debt plugin.
- Performed Pearson Correlation Coefficient test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>One-tailed P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.42765676</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.42565911</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.43607052</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis

• Results were moderate, but significant correlation.

• There exists a positive relationship between technical debt and betweenness centrality.

• As one grows, the other grows, though not at the same rate.
Longitudinal Evaluation

• Utilized same three maintenance versions of JDT: 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6.
• Generated dependency graphs for code structures using Cytoscape.
• Measured betweenness centrality.
  – i.e. Nodes that depended or were dependent on the four principle files.
Longitudinal Evaluation

• Isolated four principle files via high technical debt: ClassFile, Parser, CodeStream and CompletionEngine.

• Reduced graph to four principle nodes and first neighbor nodes

• Performed the Force-Directed Spring-Embedded layout with weighting on betweenness centrality.
  – Nodes act as repulsive elements (think electrons).
  – Edge length determine by betweenness centrality.
Eclipse – JDT 3.4
Eclipse – JDT 3.5
Eclipse – JDT 3.6
Coding standard violations
Analysis

• Examination of node clusters showed cluster 7 was an outlier: excessive technical debt, minor violations, non-minor violations and code size.
  – However, not the largest cluster in terms of lines of code.

• Analysis across versions showed significant refactoring of code, resulting in significantly reduced lines of code, violations and technical debt.

• Our technique consistently identified places where the professional staff spent time modifying design and code.
Conclusion

• Betweenness centrality has a positive relationship with technical debt.
• Using whichever of the two is easiest to compute we can identify regions of code that need renovation.
• We can also identify the vendors in an ecosystem that are best to use from a technical debt perspective.