

Tailoring a Method for System Architecture Analysis

Joakim Fröberg, Mälardalen University, Sweden

Stig Larsson, Effective Change AB, Sweden

Per-Åke Nordlander, BAE Systems AB, Sweden

BAE SYSTEMS



MÄLARDALEN UNIVERSITY
SWEDEN

Atlas Copco

Declaration of content

- ❑ A method to find architectural drivers, architectural design choices, and to evaluate alternatives
- ❑ Use in automotive hybrid system case – one example
- ❑ Lessons learned and experience



TABLE 1 Cost Breakdown for Box of RTE Cereal

Grocery store (stock costs)	\$0.68*
Grain ^b	\$0.09
Other ingredients ^c	\$0.05
Packaging	\$0.10
Labor	\$0.18*
Manufacturing	\$0.34*
Advertising	\$1.02*
Profits	\$0.93
Total	\$3.39

*From Ref. 48.
^bCalculated from grain costs.
^cCalculated from ingredient costs—cost of salt, sugar, malt syrup, buffer at vendor-recommended rates.

ProDrive 2

Efficient electrical drive system
platform for heavy vehicles

BAE SYSTEMS



**MÄLARDALEN UNIVERSITY
SWEDEN**



Atlas Copco



Stakeholder analysis and system boundary

A drive system platform

- 29 people/ 11 roles
- 1100 statements
- 200 process related
- Advanced lifecycle
- <10% architecturally significant



Success comes from many other things than drive system design


- The process to offer, simulate and test each system
- The maintenance system is crucial to usefulness

Architecture analysis and methods



Maintenance system

- Support in making decisions among many candidate choices



- Methods for architecture analysis:
 - Identify architectural decisions
 - Identify architectural drivers
 - Analyze relationships
 - Support decisions on architectural alternative


Practitioners define “usable”

- An architecture analysis method
 - Shall support selection of architecture alternatives
 - Low footprint
 - Cover the complete system usage and scope
 - Shall be based on quantifiable entities
 - Analysis results early in development




Available methods


- Firesmith – MFESA
- Kazman – ATAM
- Axelsson – ALCEA
- Muller – CAFCR
- SE guidelines, Incose




Use-case models for all system use



Analysis structure



Lifecycle model for the maintenance system



Architecture Evaluation

- Estimate/Measurement of concrete result
- Unit is money per year
- Example: Should the system be equipped with telematics solution 1,2, or 3?

LC Phase	Type of value	Arch 1	Arch 2	Arch 3
Develop Maintenance	Investment	-0,1	-1,5	-7,5
Perform Maintenance	Cost reduction	1,5	0,35	0,35
Perform Maintenance	Faster	5	10	10
Deliver spare parts	Correctly	2	10	10
Offer to customer	Quality	1	3,5	0
		9.4	22.35	12.65

Method summary

- ✓ Identify stakeholders, lifecycle, system boundary
- ✓ Identify architectural decisions and drivers
- ✓ Use quantifiable data
- ✓ Support evaluation of architecture alternatives



Conclusions

- Method defined, used
- One architectural concern evaluated w candidates
- Usable in case
 - More evaluations to come
 - The progression to find alternatives could be improved
 - The method does allow evaluation of architectural alternatives based on quantifiable measures
- Defining a method takes time! (and iterations)