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Describing Network Analytical Capabilities

Develop descriptions that support fair evaluation of current or potential capabilities to address network defense needs and operational cycles

- “How does it fit” not “Is it good”
- Input to acquisition, not decision for them
- Methodical and impartial, not objective

Supportive of network security, but applicable somewhat beyond just network security

- Harvest analyst expertise
- Consideration of carry-over effects
Phase 1: A Language Model

Nouns – forms of data handled by the capability
- Inputs
- Processing
- Results

Verbs – primitive actions supported by the capability
- Data handling
- Process
- Analytic
- Presentational

Adverbs – characteristics of the capability
- Process
- Product

Prepositions – scope or limitations of the capability
Assessing Data

What is the primary data handled by the capability? What is secondary data handled by the capability? What is supportive data handled by the capability? What primitive operations are associated with each? How well are the operations implemented? What is missing?
Example: Sourcefire IDS

Primary input: Packet data
  • Collect, Abstract, Parse, Alert, Store, Query, Export

Secondary input: Network map
  • Select, Group, Aggregate

Supportive input: Signatures
  • Import, Alert, Store, Export
Input/Processing/Output

Input: what data does the capability consume?
Sourcefire consumes network packets

Process: what data is used for control or direction of the capability?
Sourcefire uses signatures and network configuration information

Output: what data is produced by the capability?
Sourcefire produces alerts, and selective packet capture
Network Level of Abstraction

Many capabilities are focused on particular range of protocols and behaviors

IP layer: packet-based analysis, does not get into local behavior and only infers application behavior (e.g., SiLK)

Application layer: message-based analysis, does not deal with transport mechanics (e.g., analysis of email patterns)
Assessing Operations

What locus of operations forms the “core” functionality of the capability?

What are secondary operations?

What are supportive operations?

How well are those operations implemented?

How scoped is the intended application?

Rating scheme: 0-5, plus n/a, not eval, absent
Summarizing Operational Gaps/Maturity

Balance functional maturity vs. capability gaps

All tools have gaps

Goal is to see how peaks and valleys match
Process Adverbs

Sourcefire IDS:
Operational
Qualitative
Tactical
Concise
Product Adverbs

Sourcefire IDS:

- Not Data-diverse
- Immediate
- Responsive
- Interoperable
- Documented
- Supported
- Trained
- Robust
- No Workflow
- No AAA
Prepositions

Under Conditions (e.g., edge vs. transit)
At Size / scale (e.g., enclave vs. enterprise, days vs. months)
Of Scope (e.g., CND vs. network ops)
Within Coverage (e.g., sparse vs. complete)
In time (e.g., interactive vs. batch vs. continuous)
Phase 2: Process Descriptions

What form of reasoning should the model support?

- Fused-source intelligence
- C2/OODA?
- Forensic?
- Bayesian hypothesis testing?
- Abductive pattern matching?
Network Analysis Approaches

collection

validation

fusion

analysis

dissemination

observe

orient

decide

act
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Analysis Decomposed

Forensic
- means
- motive
- opportunity
- sequence

Vulnerability
- Access
- Exploit
- Impact
- Breadth

Network Security
- Who
- What
- When
- Where
- Why
- How

Incident Response
- Contain
- Control
- Diagnose
- Correct
- Communicate
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Next Steps

Expand initial visual results into fair comparisons
- Spider diagrams
- Input/Process/Output tables
- Network level tables
- Operational maturity/gaps

Define requirements for evaluation process using model
- Team?
- Approach?
- Process?
- Outcomes?
- Threats?

Tie capabilities to process needs
- Threshold approach (score needs to be X)
- Conditional approach (capability must include Y)
- Descriptive approach (need to support operations Z)

Reasoning Support