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Big Ball of Mud
Alias: Shantytown, Spaghetti Code

A BIG BALL OF MUD is haphazardly structured, sprawling, sloppy, duct-tape and bailing wire, spaghetti code jungle.

The de-facto standard software architecture. Why is the gap between what we preach and what we practice so large?

We preach we want to build high quality systems but why are BBoMs so prevalent?
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Evolved from UIUC SAG

In the early 90’s we were studying objects, frameworks, components, reusability, patterns, “good” architecture.

However, in our SAG group we often noticed that although we talk a good game, many successful systems do not have a good internal structure at all.

Selfish Class

Brian and I had just published a paper called Selfish Class which takes a code’s-eye view of software reuse and evolution.

In contrast, our BBoM paper noted that in reality, a lot of code was hard to (re)-use.
**Why BBoM?**

Why was this phenomenon so prevalent in our industry? We sure talk a good game.

We had seen where Lisp had failed, Smalltalk was starting to fail, Windows was winning. Why was this?

What is there about some systems that failed compared to systems that succeed, even when they seemed better in many ways.

---

**Worse is Better**

Ideas resembles Gabriel’s 1991 “Worse is Better”

Worse is Better is an argument to release early and then have the market help you design the final product. It is taken as the first published argument for open source, among other things.

Do BBoM systems have a Quality?
Worse is Better (examples)

Betamax vs VHS Format
- Why did VHS win?
- Betamax was arguably a better format

Macintosh vs Windows
- Mac was easier to use
- Far superior in many ways

MS Word/Publisher vs FrameMaker
- Lots of people use Word
- FrameMaker is better for books

What exactly do we mean by "Big"?

Well, for teams I consider > $10^2$ big
and for code I consider > $10^5$ big

Teams can write good code. Smalltalk is an example. I've seen teams of things written by $10^1$ or $10^2$ be pretty good and definitely would not be considered to be a BBoM.
Legacy == Mud?

Legacy != Mud???

Does Legacy happen within months or a year after the first release?

Or is legacy after the second release?

What about Muddy code that is released on the first version? Is this a counterexample?

Is all Legacy Mud? Smalltalk???
Is Mud Normal?

Well, just read our paper....there are "normal" reasons why it happens. Maybe it is the best we can do right now.

If mud is such a bad thing, why do people keep making it?

Maybe if we accept it and teach it more then we can deal with it better and help prevent it from getting too bad.

Where Mud Comes From

People Write Code → People make Mud
Keep it Working, Piecemeal Growth, Throwaway Code

Copy ‘n’ Paste
The Age of Sampling
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Big Bucket of Glue
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Accidental Complexity?? Maybe our current state of the art leads to Mud!
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Question

So, why DO people build Big Balls of Mud?
They Have a Name

Millionaires / Billionaires

Agile to the Rescue???

- Individuals and interactions over processes and tools
- Working software over comprehensive documentation
- Customer collaboration over contract negotiation
- Responding to change over following a plan

That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more.

...From the Agile Manifesto
**Question**

What Agile Practices help us avoid or cope with mud? Does Agile practices such as TDD really help minimize mud? What are we doing RIGHT?

What Agile Practices contribute to the problem? Encourage mud? So Is Mud really the best that Agile can do?

---

**Can Agile Help?**

Scrum, TDD, Refactoring, Regular Feedback, Testing, More Eyes, ....

Good People!

Continuous attention to technical excellence!

Retrospectives!

Face-To-Face conversation.

Motivated individuals with the environment and support they need.
Do Some Agile Principles Encourage mud?

Lack of Upfront Design?
Late changes to the requirements of the system?
Continuously Evolving the Architecture?
Piecemeal Growth?
Focus on Process rather than Architecture?
Working code is the measure of success!
I’m sure there are more!!!

What is the Payoff?

The question that keeps getting asked is what value does the customer get from paying back this technical debt? What value does the customer get from simplifying this design? What value does the customer get from cleaning this code?

Daniel Hinz comment on Brian Marick’s Blog

The answer is almost universally none!!!
**Question**

Is Craftsmanship the Cure? Or maybe it is the problem? Is ascribing poor code to unhygienic habits, even malpractice enough, or naive; or is mud inevitable?

Does quality matter? Does quality just get in the way? Is “Clean” the Answer? Or only part of the answer? Or a sideshow?

---

**Quality**

**Quality Definition:** a peculiar and essential character or nature, an inherent feature or property, a degree of excellence or grade, a distinguishing attribute or characteristic.
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### Quality (Whose perspective)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Scientist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>important/boring</td>
<td>true/false</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designer</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cool/uncool</td>
<td>good/bad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“The Four Winds of Making”...Gabriel

Does quality on the inside mean quality on the outside?
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### Being Good Enough

- Quality of being good enough.
- Does it meet the minimum requirements
- Quality has many competing forces...are we designing a system for online orders or for controlling the space shuttle, they have different qualities, thus different patterns and solutions apply.
- Perfection is the enemy of **Good Enough!**
- Maybe Quality without a Number.
Does Quality Code Matter?

Patterns about creating quality code that communicates well, is easy to understand, and is a pleasure to read. Book is about patterns of “Quality” code.

But...Kent states, “…this book is built on a fragile premise: that good code matters. I’ve seen too much ugly code make too much money to believe that quality of code is either necessary or sufficient for commercial success or widespread use. However I still believe quality of code matters.”

Patterns assist with making code more bug free and easier to maintain and extend.

Some Answers to Mud!?!?

We can gentrify, rehabilitate, or make-over code helping clean up the mud.

Even some patterns, frameworks, components, and objects helped with mud. Agile has helped some.
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Total Code Makeover

Can we just Refactor out of Mud?
Sweep the Mess Under the Rug?

Stuart Brand’s Shearing Layers

- Buildings are a set of components that evolve in different timescales.
- Layers: site, structure, skin, services, space plan, stuff. Each layer has its own value, and speed of change (pace).
- Buildings adapt because faster layers (services) are not obstructed by slower ones (structure).

—Stuart Brand, *How Buildings Learn*
Yoder and Foote’s Software Shearing Layers

“Factor your system so that artifacts that change at similar rates are together.”—Foote & Yoder, Ball of Mud, PLoPD4.

Layers
- The platform
- Infrastructure
- Data schema
- Standard frameworks and components
- Abstract classes and interfaces
- Classes
- Code
- Data

Sweep It Under the Rug

Cover it up to keep other areas clean (Facade and other Wrapper Patterns)
Put a rug at the Front Door

Protect Important Components!
Keep other parts of the system clean.
Sometimes Glue code (Mediators) helps keep others parts of the system cleaner.
(Anti-Corruption Layer -- Eric Evans)

Code Make Over

Refactoring can help reverse some mud. The tradeoff is cost and time....maybe with technology.

Refactoring to Better Design (Patterns).
A Simple Refactoring

Create Empty Class

Object

Concrete1

Concrete2

NewAbstract

Concrete1

Concrete2

Adapted from Don Roberts, The Refactory, Inc.
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A Complex Refactoring

Array

Matrix

Matrix

MatrixRep

rep

SparseRep

ArrayRep

IdentityRep

Refactoring can be hard but there are a lot of small steps that lead to big gains in mud busting

Adapted from Don Roberts, The Refactory, Inc.
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Tools Can Help!

What is the role of tools in draining these swamps?
Refactoring Tools, Testing Tools, XUnit, Lint Tools, Code Critics, ...
Tools can help, but too often too much is put on tools as the solution (silver bullet).
Still requires lots of hard work and good people using the right tools!

Testing
Good Design

It is a myth that Agile doesn’t support Design….It is ok to have good Design!

Good proven practices and patterns can help….It’s ok to think!!

Leave the code cleaner than when you came there (Leave the Campground Clean -- Craftsmanship).

Many Quality Patterns Written

- Design Patterns
- Patterns for Fault Tolerant Software
- Performance Patterns
- Small Memory Software Patterns
- Analysis Patterns
- Security Patterns
- Stability Patterns
- Usability Patterns

......

Imitate or use proven quality techniques

http://www.hillside.net
Draining the Swamp

You can escape from the “Spaghetti Code Jungle”

Indeed you can transform the landscape. The key is not some magic bullet, but a long-term commitment to architecture, and to cultivating and refining “quality” artifacts for your domain (Refactoring)!

Patterns of the best practices can help!!!

Silver Buckshot

There are no silver bullets …Fred Brooks

But maybe some silver buckshot …promising attacks

Good Design
Frameworks
Patterns
Architecture
Process/Organization
Tools and Support
Good People ***
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Mud is Here...

It isn’t always bad!
It can be contained!
It can be cleaned up!

Our code can be more habitable!

So There is Some Hope!!!

Testing (TDD), Refactoring, Regular Feedback, Patterns, More Eyes, …

Good People!!!
Continuous attention to technical excellence!
Retrospectives!
Face-To-Face conversation.

Motivated individuals with the environment and support they need.

But, Maybe Mud is why we have Agile….
It Takes a Village
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Thanks!!!
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