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Operational Risk

“By its nature, the uncertainty of war invariably involves the acceptance of risk...Because risk is often related to gain, leaders weigh risks against the benefits to be gained from an operation.”

NDP-1 (Naval Warfare)
Continuous Risk Management (CRM) focuses on continuous activities to identify, analyze, plan, track, control, and communicate risk.

History of SEI Risk Management:

- **1990**: Risk Program Initiated
- **1991**: Appraisal Report [Kirkpatrick 92]
- **1992**: TRM Report [Higuera 94], SRE Report [Sisti 94], Draft TRM Guidebook
- **1993**: Early Risk Assessments, Field Tests
- **1994**: Interviews, Focus on needs of community and practice of risk management, Team Risk Management (TRM)
- **1995**: Software Risk Evaluations (SREs), Focus on risk identification and analysis (baseline)
- **1996**: CRM Guidebook [Dorofee 96]
History of SEI Risk Management

1996
- NASA/SEI CRM Course Dev't
- Risk Program Disbanded

1998
- SRE MD [Williams 99]

1999
- CMMI V1.02

2000
- ASP Program Established

2001

2002

2003

Software Risk Evaluations (SREs)
- focus on small dev’t teams
- maintain, promote, transition

Team Risk Management (TRM)

Continuous Risk Management (CRM)
- focus on teaching CRM course, Guidebook maintenance
- focus on aligning with CMMI

Risk Process Checks
- additional pilots, document, transition
Key Aspects of Continuous Risk Management

*Identify* – Continually asking, “what could go wrong?”

*Analyze* – Continually asking, “which risks are most critical to mitigate?”

*Plan* – Developing mitigation approaches for the most critical risks

*Track* – Tracking the mitigation plan and the risk

*Control* – Making decisions based on data

*Communicate* – Ensuring a free-flow of information throughout the project
SEI’s Risk Taxonomy

Developed in 1993 to help software-intensive system developers systematically identify risks

Used with the SEI’s Software Risk Evaluation process or other risk identification techniques

Used as a “checklist” or expanded “radar screen” to ensure a greater number of potential risks are identified when doing on-going risk identification
Taxonomy Structure

Development Risk

Class

Product Engineering

Development Environment

Program Constraints

Element

Requirements Specialties

Development Process

Work Environment

Resources Externals

Attribute

Stability Scale

Formality Product Control

Schedule Facilities
# Development Taxonomy

## A. Product Engineering

1. Requirements
   a. Stability
   b. Completeness
   c. Clarity
   d. Validity
   e. Feasibility
   f. Precedent
   g. Scale

2. Design
   a. Functionality
   b. Difficulty
   c. Interfaces
   d. Performance
   e. Testability
   f. Hardware Constraints
   g. Non-Developmental Software

3. Code and Unit Test
   a. Feasibility
   b. Testing
   c. Coding/Implementation

4. Integration and Test
   a. Environment
   b. Product
   c. System

5. Engineering Specialties
   a. Maintainability
   b. Reliability
   c. Safety
   d. Security
   e. Human Factors
   f. Specifications

## B. Development Environment

1. Development Process
   a. Formality
   b. Suitability
   c. Process Control
   d. Familiarity
   e. Product Control

2. Development System
   a. Capacity
   b. Suitability
   c. Usability
   d. Familiarity
   e. Reliability
   f. System Support
   g. Deliverability

3. Management Process
   a. Planning
   b. Project Organization
   c. Management Experience
   d. Program Interfaces

4. Management Methods
   a. Monitoring
   b. Personnel Management
   c. Quality Assurance
   d. Configuration Management

5. Work Environment
   a. Quality Attitude
   b. Cooperation
   c. Communication
   d. Morale

## C. Program Constraints

1. Resources
   a. Schedule
   b. Staff
   c. Budget
   d. Facilities

2. Contract
   a. Type of Contract
   b. Restrictions
   c. Dependencies

3. Program Interfaces
   a. Customer
   b. Associate Contractors
   c. Subcontractors
   d. Prime Contractor
   e. Corporate Management
   f. Vendors
   g. Politics
Operational Organizations

An Operational organization is any group of individuals teamed together to carry out a mission.

Operational organizations consists of mission elements or teams that carry out mission requirements or subsets of requirements.

Requirements could come from external customers or from internal sources.
Examples

Examples of Operational organizations:

- military units
- educational institutions
- health care facilities
- fire and police units
- non-profit organizations
Task Defined

Operational organizations perform tasks to satisfy mission requirements.

**Mission-essential tasks:** A mission-essential task is any task that directly accomplishes mission requirements.

examples: flight operations, satellite control, mission management, etc.

**Mission-support tasks:** A mission-support task is any task that supports the accomplishment of mission requirements.

examples: spares replenishment, mission planning, new employee orientation, etc.
Identifying Operational Risks

When identifying risks in an operational environment, the Development Taxonomy doesn’t work well

- Operational personnel don’t do development per se
- Operational personnel don’t feel comfortable with the definitions in the original Taxonomy
- Operational personnel need systematic tools to help identify mission-related risks
Constructing an Operational Taxonomy

Operational Risk

Class
- Mission
- Work Processes
- Constraints

Element
- Tasking
- Operational Systems
- Operational Process
- Work Environment
- Resources
- Interfaces

Attribute
- Stability
- Timeliness
- Formality
- Product Control
- Schedule
- Tools
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Taxonomy of Operational Risks

A. Mission

1. Tasking, Orders and Plans
   a. Stability
   b. Completeness
   c. Clarity
   d. Validity
   e. Feasibility
   f. Precedent
   g. Timeliness

2. Mission Execution
   a. Efficiency
   b. Effectiveness
   c. Complexity
   d. Timeliness
   e. Safety

3. Product
   a. Usability
   b. Effectiveness
   c. Timeliness
   d. Accuracy
   e. Correctness

4. Operational Systems
   a. Throughput
   b. Suitability
   c. Usability
   d. Familiarity
   e. Reliability
   f. Security
   g. Inventory
   h. Installations
   i. System Support

B. Work Processes

1. Operational Processes
   a. Formality
   b. Suitability
   c. Process Control
   d. Familiarity
   e. Product Quality

2. Maintenance Processes
   a. Formality
   b. Suitability
   c. Process Control
   d. Familiarity
   e. Service Quality

3. Management Process
   a. Planning
   b. Organization
   c. Management Experience
   d. Program Interfaces

4. Management Methods
   a. Monitoring
   b. Personnel Management
   c. Quality Assurance
   d. Configuration Management

5. Work Environment
   a. Quality Attitude
   b. Cooperation
   c. Communication
   d. Morale

C. Constraints

1. Resources
   a. Schedule
   b. Staff
   c. Budget
   d. Facilities
   e. Tools

2. Policies
   a. Laws and Regulations
   b. Restrictions
   c. Contractual Constraints

3. Program Interfaces
   a. Customers/User Community
   b. Associate Agencies
   c. Contractors
   d. Senior Leadership
   e. Vendors
   f. Politics

http://www.sei.cmu.edu/publications/documents/05.reports/05tn036.html
Example Class/Element/Attribute: Mission

A. Mission
In an operational environment, a *mission* is considered to be the primary reason for the existence of the operational organization. The mission consists of a set of defined tasks that produce a product or service for a customer. The mission could be defense intelligence operations, banking, retail sales, manufacturing, or a variety of other missions, including those performed by civil agencies.

The elements of the Mission class of operational risks cover traditional aspects of the mission, including planning, execution, and the products and services provided. Mission elements include attributes of the operational systems and the organizations that operate those systems.

1. Tasking, Orders, and Plans
The Tasking, Orders, and Plans element contains attributes that are used to characterize aspects of the information contained in the tasks, orders, and plans of an operational organization. These attributes also describe the ability of an operational system and the organization that operates it to respond to requests. The following attributes characterize the Tasking, Orders, and Plans element.

   a. Stability
   The Stability attribute refers to the frequency with which tasks, orders, or plans change and the effect this has on the operational organization. It can also refer to the organizations that submit tasks or orders to an organization for execution. This attribute also addresses the flexibility of the operational entity in responding to changing tasks, orders, and plans and to handling multiple sources of tasks, orders, and plans.
A “Short” Taxonomy-based Questionnaire

A. Mission
Consider risks to the operation that can arise because of the nature of the mission that your organization is trying to accomplish.

• Tasking, Orders, and Plans

Question: Are there risks that could arise from the way the mission is tasked, orders are provided, or operational plans developed?
Examples:
   a. Stability
   b. Completeness
   c. Clarity
   d. Validity
   e. Feasibility
   f. Precedent
   g. Timeliness
The Taxonomy can be used:
- to establish a baseline set of operational risks
- to perform ongoing operational risk identification
- to help identify weaknesses in current operational capabilities and to help establish new statements of operational need
- when working with acquisition or development organizations to identify the operational risks associated with accepting new systems into operational use
- to participate with acquisition or development organizations using Team Risk Management techniques
Example: System Acceptance Risks

Context:

A military unit is responsible for operating satellite systems. An acquisition organization is acquiring a replacement system to consolidate operations at one location and upgrade the hardware and software to prepare for future acceptance of new satellite systems.

The program was late, and tension between the operators, the acquirers, and the developers was high.

The SEI participated in a risk assessment using the SRE process and the Taxonomy of Operational Risks at the operational facility to help identify risks of accepting the new system and to uncover any root causes of the program delays.

During the two-day risk identification and analysis activities, stakeholders from the operational squadron, operational test personnel, Contractor Logistics Support (CLS), and site management wrote seventy (70) risk statements over the course of four interview sessions.
The Risk Statement

A “standard” format for risk statements provides:
• clarity
• consistency
• a basis for future risk processing

A good Risk Statement is
◆ fact-based
◆ actionable
◆ brief
Example Risk Statements

ORD does not levy requirements at the level of capability of legacy systems; system will be less capable, loss is visible at general officer level

Loss of key technical experts (significant attribution); loss of continuity

Positive "spin" put on info going up the chain; expectation mismatch

Roles and responsibilities not defined under this implementation of TSPR. (Insight vs. Oversight); Confusion, delays, who's responsible, who's leading

There is no official program schedule; Can't plan. Can't determine when to move personnel (out-year O&M and personnel costs)

Test resources at the factory are currently insufficient; Late discovery of problems

Training suite is sub-optimal, does not meet expectations or requirements, cannot perform integrated crew training; Will force training and evaluation on OPS floor
Risk Areas Identified

![Bar chart showing the number of risk statements in different areas:]

- **Requirements Management**
- **People, Resources & Leadership**
- **Schedule Pressure and Veracity**
- **Testing**
- **Operability**
- **Reliability / Dependibility**
- **Funding**

The chart categorizes the risk areas under the following headings:

1. **Total Risk Statements**
2. **SRE Team Top Risks**
3. **Participants Top Risks**

The chart provides a visual representation of the risk statements for each category.
Hierarchical Inter-relationship Digraph

Legend
- High
- Medium
- Low
Outcome

Risk assessments were also done at the developer’s location using the development taxonomy and at acquirer’s location using the SA-CMM as a “taxonomy” to get their unique perspectives.

With all three perspectives, the team was able to make informed recommendations back to the PEO.

Program was restructured.
Team Risk Management

Team Risk Management (TRM) builds on healthy and active risk practices within diverse organizations, or organizational entities, teamed together for a common purpose.

TRM works to aid decision making in supplier-acquirer relationships.

Adding the end-user, or operator, TRM is the ideal method of managing risk during new system development.
TRM “Vision”
Conclusions

New systems or capabilities delivered to operational forces should mitigate operational risk.

Using a structured Taxonomy to help identify operational risk increases the likelihood of delivering usable systems or capabilities into operational use.
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