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Abstract—The GraphBLAS standard (GraphBlas.org) is being developed to bring the potential of matrix-based graph algorithms to the broadest possible audience. Mathematically, the GraphBLAS defines a core set of matrix-based graph operations that can be used to implement a wide class of graph algorithms in a wide range of programming environments. This paper provides an introduction to the mathematics of the GraphBLAS. Graphs represent connections between vertices with edges. Matrices can represent a wide range of graphs using adjacency matrices or incidence matrices. Adjacency matrices are often easier to analyze while incidence matrices are often better for representing data. Fortunately, the two are easily connected by matrix multiplication. A key feature of matrix mathematics is that a very small number of operations is the foundation of the GraphBLAS. A standard such as the GraphBLAS can only be effective if it has low performance overhead. Performance measurements of prototype GraphBLAS implementations indicate that the overhead is low.

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphs are among the most important abstract data structures in computer science, and the algorithms that operate on them are critical to applications in bioinformatics [Georganas et al 2014], computer networks, and social media [Ediger et al 2010], [Ediger et al 2011], [Riedy et al 2012], [Riedy & Bader 2013]. Graphs have been shown to be powerful tools for modeling complex problems because of their simplicity and generality [Staudt et al 2016], [Bergamini & Meyerhenke 2016]. For this reason, the field of graph algorithms has become one of the pillars of theoretical computer science, informing research in such diverse areas as combinatorial optimization, complexity theory, and topology. Graph algorithms have been adapted and implemented by the military, commercial industry, and researchers in academia, and have become essential in controlling the power grid, telephone systems, and, of course, computer networks.

Parallel graph algorithms are notoriously difficult to implement and optimize [Ediger et al 2012], [Ediger & Bader 2013], [McLaughlin & Bader 2014a], [McLaughlin & Bader 2014b], [McLaughlin et al 2014], [Staudt & Meyerhenke 2016]. The irregular data access patterns and inherently high communication-to-computation ratios found in graph algorithms mean that even the best algorithms will have parallel efficiencies that decrease as the number of processors is increased [Bulu 2012], [Azad et al 2015]. Recent work on communication-avoiding algorithms, and their applications to graph computations [Ballard et al 2013], [Solomonik et al 2013], might defer but not completely eliminate the parallel scalability bottleneck. Consequently, novel hardware architectures will also be required [Song et al 2010], [Song et al 2013]. A common graph processing interface provides a useful tool for optimizing both software and hardware to provide high performance graph applications.

The duality between the canonical representation of graphs as abstract collections of vertices and edges and a matrix representation has been a part of graph theory since its inception [Konig 1931], [Konig 1936]. Matrix algebra has been recognized as a useful tool in graph theory for nearly as long (see [Harary 1969] and references therein, in particular [Sabadusi 1960], [Weischel 1962], [McAndrew 1963], [Teh & Yap 1964], [McAndrew 1965], [Harary & Tauth 1964], [Brualdi 1967]). The modern description of the duality between graph algorithms and matrix mathematics (or sparse linear algebra) has been extensively covered in the literature and is summarized in the cited text [Kepner & Gilbert 2011]. This text has further spawned the development of the GraphBLAS math library standard (GraphBLAS.org)[Mattson et al 2013] that has been developed in a series of proceedings [Mattson 2014a], [Mattson 2014b], [Mattson 2015], [Bulu 2015], [Mattson 2016] and implementations [Bulu & Gilbert 2011], [Kepner et al 2012], [Ekanadham et al 2016], [Hutchinson et al 2015], [Anderson et al 2016], [Zhang et al 2016]. This paper describes the mathematical properties that have been developed since [Kepner & Gilbert 2011] to support the GraphBLAS.

The foundational mathematical concepts for matrix-based graph analysis are the adjacency matrix and incidence matrix
representations of graphs. From these concepts, a more formal definition of a matrix can be constructed. How such a matrix can be manipulated depends on the types of values the matrix holds and the operations allowed on those values. Furthermore, the mathematical properties of the matrix values determine the mathematical properties of the whole matrix. This paper describes the key mathematical concepts of the GraphBLAS and presents preliminary results that show the overhead of the GraphBLAS is minimal (as compared to their underlying matrix libraries).

II. ADJACENCY MATRIX

Given an adjacency matrix \( A \), if
\[
A(i, j) = 1
\]
then there exists an edge going from vertex \( i \) to vertex \( j \) (see Figure 1). Likewise, if
\[
A(i, j) = 0
\]
then there is no edge from \( i \) to \( j \). Adjacency matrices can have direction, which means that \( A(i, j) \) may not be the same as \( A(j, i) \). Adjacency matrices can also have edge weights. If
\[
A(i, j) = a \neq 0
\]
then the edge going from \( i \) to \( j \) is said to have weight \( a \). Adjacency matrices provide a simple way to represent the connections between vertices in a graph. Adjacency matrices are often square, and both the out-vertices (rows) and the in-vertices (columns) are the same set of vertices. Adjacency matrices can be rectangular, in which case the out-vertices (rows) and the in-vertices (columns) are different sets of vertices. Such graphs are often called bipartite graphs. In summary, adjacency matrices can represent a wide range of graphs, which include any graph with any set of the following properties: directed, weighted, and/or bipartite.

![Adjacency Matrix Example](Image)

Fig. 1. (left) Seven-vertex graph with 12 edges. Each vertex is labeled with an integer. (right) \( 7 \times 7 \) adjacency matrix \( A \) representation of the graph. \( A \) has 12 nonzero entries corresponding to the edges in the graph.

III. INCIDENCE MATRIX

An incidence, or edge matrix \( E \), uses the rows to represent every edge in the graph and the columns to represent every vertex. There are a number of conventions for denoting an edge in an incidence matrix. One such convention is to use two incidence matrices
\[
E_{\text{out}}(k, i) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\text{in}}(k, j) = 1
\]
to indicate that edge \( k \) is a connection from \( i \) to \( j \) (see Figure 2). Incidence matrices are useful because they can easily represent multi-graphs, hyper-graphs, and multipartite graphs. These complex graphs are difficult to capture with an adjacency matrix. A multi-graph has multiple edges between the same vertices. If there was another edge, \( k' \), from \( i \) to \( j \), this relationship can be captured in an incidence matrix by setting
\[
E_{\text{out}}(k', i) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\text{in}}(k', j) = 1
\]
(see Figure 3) [Note: Another convention is to use +1 and -1, in which case the resulting matrix multiplication is the graph Laplacian.] In a hyper-graph, one edge can connect more than two vertices. For example, to denote that edge \( k \) has a connection from \( i \) to \( j \) and \( j' \) can be accomplished by also setting
\[
E_{\text{in}}(k, j') = 1
\]
(see Figure 3). Furthermore, \( i, j, \) and \( j' \) can be drawn from different classes of vertices. \( E \) can be used to represent multipartite graphs by defining an additional incidence array \( E' \) and setting
\[
E'(k, j') = 1
\]
Thus, an incidence matrix can be used to represent a graph with any set of the following graph properties: directed, weighted, multipartite, multi-edge, and/or hyper-edge.

![Incidence Matrix Examples](Image)

Fig. 2. (left) Seven-vertex graph with 12 edges. Each edge is labeled with an integer; the vertex labels are the same as in Figure 1. (middle) \( 12 \times 7 \) incidence matrix \( E_{\text{out}} \) representing the out-vertices of the graph edges. (right) \( 12 \times 7 \) incidence matrix \( E_{\text{in}} \) representing the in-vertices of the graph edges. Both \( E_{\text{out}} \) and \( E_{\text{in}} \) have 12 nonzero entries corresponding to the edges in the graph.

IV. MATRIX VALUES

A typical matrix has \( m \) rows and \( n \) columns of real numbers. Such a matrix can be denoted as
\[
A : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}
\]
The row and column indexes of the matrix \( A \) are
\[
i \in I = \{ 1, \ldots, m \}
\]
and

\[ j \in J = \{1, \ldots, n\} \]

so that any particular value \( A \) can be denoted as \( A(i,j) \).

The row and column indices of matrices are natural numbers \( I, J : \mathbb{N} \). [Note: a specific implementation of these matrices might use IEEE 64-bit double-precision floating point numbers to represent real numbers, 64-bit unsigned integers to represent row and column indices, and the compressed sparse rows (CSR) format or the compressed sparse columns (CSC) format to store the nonzero values inside the sparse matrix.]

A matrix of complex numbers

\[ \mathbb{C} = \{x + y\sqrt{-1} : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\} \]

is denoted

\[ A : \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \]

A matrix of integers

\[ \mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -1, 0, 1, \ldots\} \]

is denoted

\[ A : \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n} \]

A matrix of natural numbers

\[ \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \]

is denoted

\[ A : \mathbb{N}^{m \times n} \]

Using the above concepts, a matrix is defined as the following two-dimensional (2D) mapping

\[ A : I \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \]

where the indices \( I, J : \mathbb{Z} \) are finite sets of integers with \( m \) and \( n \) elements, respectively, and

\[ \mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, \ldots\} \]

is a set of scalars. Without loss of generality, matrices can be denoted

\[ A : \mathbb{S}^{m \times n} \]

A vector is a matrix in which either \( m = 1 \) or \( n = 1 \). A column vector is denoted \( v : \mathbb{S}^{m \times 1} \) or simply \( v : \mathbb{S}^n \). A row vector can be denoted \( v : \mathbb{S}^{1 \times n} \) or simply \( v : \mathbb{S}^n \). A scalar is a single element of a set \( s \in \mathbb{S} \) and has no matrix dimensions.

V. SCALAR OPERATIONS

Matrix operations are built on top of scalar operations that can be used for combining and scaling graph edge weights. The primary scalar operations are standard arithmetic addition, such as

\[ 1 + 1 = 2 \]

and arithmetic multiplication, such as

\[ 2 \times 2 = 4 \]

These scalar operations of addition and multiplication can be defined to be a wide variety of functions. To prevent confusion with standard arithmetic addition and arithmetic multiplication, \( \oplus \) will be used to denote scalar addition and \( \otimes \) will be used to denote scalar multiplication. In this notation, standard arithmetic addition and arithmetic multiplication of real numbers

\[ a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \]

where

\[ \oplus \equiv + \quad \text{and} \quad \otimes \equiv \times \]

results in

\[ c = a \oplus b \quad \Rightarrow \quad c = a + b \]

and

\[ c = a \otimes b \quad \Rightarrow \quad c = a \times b \]

Generalizing \( \oplus \) and \( \otimes \) to a variety of operations enables a wide range of algorithms on scalars of all different types (not just real or complex numbers).

Certain \( \oplus \) and \( \otimes \) combinations over certain sets of scalars are particularly useful because they preserve essential mathematical properties, such as additive commutativity

\[ a \oplus b = b \oplus a \]

multiplicative commutativity

\[ a \otimes b = b \otimes a \]

additive associativity

\[ (a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c) \]

multiplicative associativity

\[ (a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c) \]

and the distributivity of multiplication over addition

\[ a \otimes (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c) \]

The properties of commutativity, associativity, and distributivity are extremely useful properties for building graph applications because they allow the builder to swap operations without changing the result. Example combinations of \( \oplus \) and \( \otimes \) are especially useful for implementing powerful graph algorithms.
that preserve scalar commutativity, associativity, and distributivity include (but are not limited to) standard arithmetic

\[ \oplus \equiv + \quad \otimes \equiv \times \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \]

max-plus algebras

\[ \oplus \equiv \max \quad \otimes \equiv + \quad a, b, c \in \{-\infty \cup \mathbb{R}\} \]

max-min algebras

\[ \oplus \equiv \max \quad \otimes \equiv \min \quad a, b, c \in \{-\infty \cup \mathbb{R}_{<0}\} \]

finite (Galois) fields such as GF(2)

\[ \oplus \equiv \text{xor} \quad \otimes \equiv \text{and} \quad a, b, c \in \{0, 1\} \]

and power set algebras

\[ \oplus \equiv \cup \quad \otimes \equiv \cap \quad a, b, c \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \]

Other functions that do not preserve the above properties can also be defined for \( \oplus \) and \( \otimes \). For example, it is often useful for \( \oplus \) or \( \otimes \) to pull in other data, such as vertex indices of a graph.

VI. MATRIX PROPERTIES

Associativity, distributivity, and commutativity are very powerful properties that enable the construction of composable graph algorithms (i.e., operations can be reordered with the knowledge that the answers will remain unchanged). Composability makes it easy to build a wide range of graph algorithms with just a few functions. Given matrices

\[ A, B, C \in S^{m \times n} \]

let their elements be specified by

\[ a = A(i, j) \quad b = B(i, j) \quad c = C(i, j) \]

Commutativity, associativity, and distributivity of scalar operations translates into similar properties on matrix operations in the following manner.

Additive commutativity allows graphs to be swapped and combined via matrix element-wise addition (see Figure 4) without changing the result

\[ a \oplus b = b \oplus a \Rightarrow A \oplus B = B \oplus A \]

where matrix element-wise addition is given by

\[ C(i, j) = A(i, j) \oplus B(i, j) \]

Multiplicative commutativity allows graphs to be swapped, intersected, and scaled via matrix element-wise multiplication (see Figure 5) without changing the result

\[ a \otimes b = b \otimes a \Rightarrow A \otimes B = B \otimes A \]

where matrix element-wise (Hadamard) multiplication is given by

\[ C(i, j) = A(i, j) \otimes B(i, j) \]

Additive associativity allows graphs to be combined via matrix element-wise addition in any grouping without changing the result

\[ (a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c) \Rightarrow (A \oplus B) \oplus C = A \oplus (B \oplus C) \]

Multiplicative associativity allows graphs to be intersected and scaled via matrix element-wise multiplication in any grouping without changing the result

\[ (a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c) \Rightarrow (A \otimes B) \otimes C = A \otimes (B \otimes C) \]

Element-wise distributivity allows graphs to be intersected and/or scaled and then combined or vice versa without changing the result

\[ a \otimes (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c) \Rightarrow A \otimes (B \oplus C) = (A \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes C) \]

where matrix multiply

\[ C = A \oplus \otimes B = AB \]

is given by

\[ C(i, j) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{l} A(i, k) \otimes B(k, j) \]

for matrices with dimensions

\[ A : S^{m \times l} \quad B : S^{l \times m} \quad C : S^{m \times n} \]

Matrix multiply associativity is another implication of scalar distributivity and allows graphs to be transformed via matrix multiplication in various orderings without changing the result

\[ a \otimes (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c) \Rightarrow (AB)C = A(BC) \]

Matrix multiply commutativity can be achieved when combined with the transpose operation

\[ (AB)^T = B^T A^T \]

where the transpose of a matrix is given by

\[ A^T(i, j) = A(i, j) \]

VII. 0-ELEMENT: NO GRAPH EDGE

Sparse matrices play an important role in graphs. Many implementations of sparse matrices reduce storage by not storing the 0-valued elements in the matrix. In adjacency matrices, the 0 element is equivalent to no edge from the vertex that is represented by the row to the vertex that is represented by the column. In incidence matrices, the 0 element is equivalent to the edge represented by the row not including the vertex that is represented by the column. In most cases, the 0 element is standard arithmetic 0, but in other cases it can be a different value. Nonstandard 0 values can be helpful when combined with different \( \oplus \) and \( \otimes \) operations. For example, in different
A. Building a Matrix: Edge List to Graph

Graph data can often be represented as triples of vectors i, j, and v corresponding to the nonzero elements in the sparse context. 0 might be +∞, -∞, or ∅ (empty set). For any value of 0, if the 0 element has certain properties with respect to scalar ⊕ and ⊗, then the sparsity of matrix operations can be managed efficiently. These properties are the additive identity

\[ a ⊕ 0 = a \]

and the multiplicative annihilator

\[ a ⊗ 0 = 0 \]

Example combinations of ⊕ and ⊗ that exhibit the additive identity and multiplicative annihilator include

- standard arithmetic (+, ×) on real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \)
- max-plus algebra (max.+) on real numbers with a defined minimal element \( \{-∞ \} \)
- min-plus algebra (min.+) using real numbers with a defined maximal element \( \mathbb{R} \cup ∞ \)
- max-min algebra (max.min) using non-negative real numbers \( [0, ∞) \)
- min-max algebra (min.max) using non-positive real numbers \( (-∞, 0] \)
- max-min algebra (max.min) using non-negative real numbers with a maximal element \( \mathbb{R}_{≥0} \cup ∞ \)
- Galois field (xor.and) over a set of two numbers \( \{0, 1\} \)
- power set \( (\cup, \cap) \) on any subset of integers \( \mathbb{Z} \)

The above examples are a small selection of the operators and sets that are useful for building graph algorithms. Many more are possible. The ability to change the scalar values and operators while preserving the overall behavior of the graph operations is one of the principal benefits of using matrices for graph algorithms.

VIII. MATRIX GRAPH OPERATIONS

The main benefit of a matrix approach to graphs is the ability to perform a wide range of graph operations on diverse types of graphs with a small number of matrix operations. These core matrix operations and some example graph operations they support are as follows

- building a sparse matrix from row, column, and value triples, which corresponds to constructing a graph from a set of out-vertices, in-vertices, and edge weights
- extracting the row, column, and value tuples corresponding to the nonzero elements in a sparse matrix, which corresponds to extracting graph edges from the matrix representation of a graph
- transposing the rows and the columns of a sparse matrix, which is equivalent to swapping the out-vertices and the in-vertices of a graph
- using matrix multiplication to perform single-source breadth-first search, multiseed breadth-first search, and weighted breadth-first search on a graph
- extracting a sub-matrix from a larger matrix is equivalent to selecting a sub-graph from a larger graph
- assigning a matrix to a set of indices in a larger matrix inserts a sub-graph into a graph
- using element-wise addition of matrices and element-wise multiplication of matrices to perform graph union and intersection along with edge weight scaling and combining

The above collection of functions has been shown to be useful for implementing a wide range of graph algorithms. These functions strike a balance between providing enough functions to be useful to application builders while being few enough that they can be implemented effectively.

A. Building a Matrix: Edge List to Graph

Graph data can often be represented as triples of vectors i, j, and v corresponding to the nonzero elements in the sparse matrix representation.

\[ a_1 \times 0 = a_0 \]

… (continued)
Constructing an \( m \times n \) sparse matrix from vector triples can be denoted
\[
C = \mathbb{S}^{m \times n}(i, j, v, \oplus)
\]
where
\[
i : I^l \quad j : J^l \quad v : S^l
\]
are all \( l \) element vectors. The optional \( \oplus \) operation defines how multiple entries with the same row and column are handled.

B. Extracting Tuples: Graph to Vertex List

Extracting the nonzero tuples from a sparse matrix can be denoted mathematically as
\[
(i, j, v) = A
\]

C. Transpose: Swap Out-Vertices and In-Vertices

Swapping the rows and columns of a sparse matrix is a common tool for changing the direction of vertices in a graph (see Figure 6). The transpose is denoted as
\[
C = A^T
\]
or more explicitly
\[
C(j, i) = A(i, j)
\]
where \( A : \mathbb{S}^{m \times n} \) and \( C : \mathbb{S}^{n \times m} \).

Transpose also can be implemented using triples as follows
\[
(i, j, v) = A
\]
\[
C = \mathbb{S}^{n \times m}(j, i, v)
\]

D. Matrix Multiplication: Breadth-First-Search, and Adjacency Matrix Construction

Matrix multiplication is the most important matrix operation and can be used to implement a wide range of graph algorithms. Examples include finding the nearest neighbors of a vertex (see Figure 7) and constructing an adjacency matrix from an incidence matrix (see Figure 8). In its most common form, matrix multiplication using standard arithmetic addition and multiplication is given by
\[
C = AB
\]
or more explicitly
\[
C(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{l} A(i, k)B(k, j)
\]
where
\[
A : \mathbb{R}^{m \times l} \quad B : \mathbb{R}^{l \times n} \quad C : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}
\]

Matrix multiplication has many important variants that include non-arithmetic addition and multiplication
\[
C = A \oplus \otimes B
\]
where
\[
A : \mathbb{S}^{m \times l} \quad B : \mathbb{S}^{l \times n} \quad C : \mathbb{S}^{m \times n}
\]
and the notation \( \oplus, \otimes \) makes explicit that \( \oplus \) and \( \otimes \) can be other functions.

One of the most common uses of matrix multiplication is to construct an adjacency matrix from an incidence matrix representation of a graph. For a graph with out-vertex incidence matrix \( E_{\text{out}} \) and in-vertex incidence matrix \( E_{\text{in}} \), the corresponding adjacency matrix can be computed by
\[
A = E_{\text{out}}^T E_{\text{in}}
\]
where the individual values in \( A \) can be computed via
\[
A(i, j) = \bigoplus_k E_{\text{out}}^T(i, k) \otimes E_{\text{in}}(k, j)
\]

Fig. 6. Transposing the adjacency matrix of a graph switches the directions of its edges.

Fig. 7. (left) Breadth-first search of a graph starting at vertex 4 and traversing to vertices 1 and 3. (right) Matrix-vector multiplication of the adjacency matrix of a graph performs the equivalent operation.
E. Extract: Selecting Sub-graphs

Selecting sub-graphs is a very common graph operation (see Figure 9). This operation is performed by selecting out-vertices (row) and in-vertices (columns) from a matrix \( A \times n \times n \)

\[ C = A(i,j) \]

or more explicitly

\[ C(i,j) = A(i(i), j(j)) \]

where \( i \in \{1, ..., m\} \) and \( j \in \{1, ..., n\} \). Intersecting graphs along with scaling their edge weights can be accomplished by element-wise multiplication of their sparse matrix representations

\[ C = A \otimes B \]

where \( A, B, C : \mathbb{S}^{m \times n} \) or more explicitly

\[ C(i,j) = A(i(i), j(j)) \]

where \( i \in \{1, ..., m\} \) and \( j \in \{1, ..., n\} \).

F. Assign: Modifying Sub-Graphs

Modifying sub-graphs is a very common graph operation. This operation is performed by selecting out-vertices (row) and in-vertices (columns) from a matrix \( C : \mathbb{S}^{m \times n} \) and assigning new values to them from another sparse matrix, \( A : \mathbb{S}^{m \times n} \)

\[ C(i,j) = A \]
Figure 11 shows the overhead of a second prototype GraphBLAS implementation, the GraphBLAS Template Library (GBTL) [Zhang et al 2016]. We measured the GraphBLAS API overhead using the GraphBLAS Template Library (GBTL) on a machine with an Intel i5-4670k processor and a GTX660 CUDA-capable graphics card. The overhead results reflect the difference in runtime, in terms of percentages, between the CUDA backend of GBTL invoked using GraphBLAS API and the direct calling of underlying implementation. We obtain the numbers by averaging the overhead of 16 runs on Erdős-Rényi random graphs generated using the same dimension and sparsity. The code is compiled using the -O2 optimization level on version 7.5.18 of the CUDA toolkit with gcc 4.9.3.

The results indicate that the overhead of the GraphBLAS is small compared to the underlying math being performed.

**Fig. 10.** Sparse matrix times sparse vector performance for a prototype GraphBLAS implementation as compared to an optimized GPU graph library (Gunrock) performing BFS in a similar manner.

**Fig. 11.** Percentage overhead of the GraphBLAS Template Library prototype implementation on six different GraphBLAS operations.

**X. CONCLUSIONS**

Matrices are a powerful tool for representing and manipulating graphs. Adjacency matrices represent directed-weighted-graphs with each row and column in the matrix representing a vertex and the values representing the weights of the edges. Incidence matrices represent directed-weighted-multi-hypergraphs with each row representing an edge and each column representing a vertex. Perhaps the most important aspects of matrix-based graphs are the mathematical properties of commutativity, associativity, and distributivity. These properties allow a very small number of matrix operations to be used to construct a large number of graphs. These properties of the matrix are determined by the element-wise properties of addition and multiplication on the values in the matrix. The GraphBLAS allows these matrix properties to be readily applied to graphs in a low-overhead manner.
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